

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,471	12/05/2003	Dwayne E. Ebersole	TN337C	9452
Unisys Corpora	7590 01/08/2008		EXAMINER DINH, KHANH Q	
Attn: Michael I				
MS/E8-114 Unisys Way			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Blue Bell, PA	19424-0001		2151	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		P	ľΧ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/728,471	EBERSOLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh Dinh	2151	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	<u>/24/07</u> .	·				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ad	ccepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
COS the attached detailed office detail for a fi	or or are corange copies not					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/728,471

Art Unit: 2151

## **Election/Restriction**

1. This is in response to the Remarks filed on 10/24/2007. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant asserts that the Election/Restriction requirement is improper since the search of prior art for the three groups is in the same areas.
  - Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner respectfully point out that the restriction is proper since the inventions of the three groups (I. II, III) are distinct and required different searches of class/subclass. Firstly, claims 1-5, drawn to a computing system employing VIA for data communications from a VIA enabled software application thorough at least NIC, classified in class 709, subclass 219; claims 6-19, drawn to a data management for tracking a plurality of NIC connections resident on a computing system, classified in class 709, subclass 225 and claims 10-17, drawn to a method for providing physically independent network interface cards for a computer system, classified in class 709, subclass 228.
  - Secondly, inventions I, II, III are related as subcombinations disclosed as
    usable together in a combination. The subcombinations are distinct from
    each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case,
    invention I has separate utility such as to a computing system employing

Application/Control Number: 10/728,471

Art Unit: 2151

VIA for data communications from a VIA enabled software application thorough at least NIC, classified in a *different Class/Subclass*. Invention II has separate utility such as a data management for tracking a plurality of NIC connections resident on a computing system, classified in a *different Class/Subclass*. Invention III has separate utility such as a method for providing physically independent network interface cards for a computer system, classified in a *different Class/Subclass*.

- Thirdly, the inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:
- (a) These inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications.
- (b) The search required for each Group is different and not co-extensive for examination purposes.

For example, the searches for the three inventions would not be co-extensive because these Groups would require different searches on PTO's classification class and subclass as following:

the Group I search (claims 1-5) would require use of search class 709, subclass 219 (not require for the inventions II, III).

the Group II search (claims 6-9) would require use of search class 709, subclass 225 (not require for the inventions I, III).

the Group III search (claims 10-17) would require use of search class 709, subclass 228 (not require for the inventions I, II).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,471 Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper and respectfully maintained. The Applicant's argument was fully considered but they are found not persuasive.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire <u>THIRTY DAYS</u> from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U.S. C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 -9600.

KHANH DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Khanh Bul